

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/055,481                     | 01/23/2002     | Carl Tung            | VREX-0010USAAON00       | 7475             |
| 7.                             | 590 06/17/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| VRex, Inc.                     |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 85 Executive B<br>Elmsford, NY | = =::          |                      | CHUNG, DAVID Y          |                  |
|                                |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                |                |                      | 2871                    | ·                |
|                                |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/055.481   | TUNG, CARL   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Offic Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | David Y. Chung   | 2871   |          |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a<br>y within the statutory minimum of the<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG<br>a. cause the application to become a | a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | nis action is non-final.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   | Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C  | J.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application  | ٦.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.  | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 U.S.C  | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |          |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been received.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.  | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))   |  | ;        |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest  | tic priority under 35 U.S.C  | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli  | cation). |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language properties.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes.  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice  | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |          |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |  |          |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 2-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "wherein said second polarizing material has a polarizing characteristic substantially in quadrature from mat of said first polarizing material". Because the meaning of this limitation is unclear, the scope of the claims cannot be ascertained and the claims are indefinite. For this examination, the above limitation will be interpreted as meaning that the first and second polarizers are orthogonal to each other.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2871

2. Claims 1-3, 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lazzaro et al. (U.S. 5,821,989).

As to claims 1 and 10, Lazzaro et al. discloses a stereoscopic viewing system having a pair of liquid crystal shutter panels through which the time-multiplexed perspective images can be sequentially viewed in a substantially flicker-free manner by the left and right eyes of a human viewer. See abstract. Note the cross-sectional view of the liquid crystal shutters 9A and 9B shown in figure 1B. The shutters comprise a first polarizing material 19, and a twisted nematic liquid crystal cell as the active rotator. A second polarizing material 20 is disposed in the optical path between the liquid crystal shutter glasses and the display device 2.

As to claims 2 and 11, Lazzaro et al. discloses that polarizing materials 19 and 20 have orthogonal polarization states P1 and P2. See column 10, lines 55-60.

As to claim 3, Lazzaro et al. discloses that the display device 2 in figure 1A is a CRT-based display device. See column 9, lines 1-10. A CRT-based display device is a direct view display.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2871

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 5-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazzaro et al. (U.S. 5,821,989).

As to claims 5 and 6, Lazzaro et al. discloses the structure of the stereoscopic liquid crystal shutter glasses but does not disclose removing the polarizer nearer the display and installing another polarizer in the optical path between the liquid crystal shutter glasses and the display device. However, it was well known and obvious to do this in order to replace polarizers that have been scratched or damaged during manufacturing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to remove the polarizer nearer the display and install another polarizer in the optical path between the shutter and the display in order to replace scratched or damaged polarizers.

As to claim 7, Lazzaro et al. discloses that the display device 2 in figure 1A is a CRT-based display device. See column 9, lines 1-10.

As to claim 8, Lazzaro et al. does not disclose a front view projection system.

However, front view projection systems were well known and obvious for having good viewing properties. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2871

art at the time of invention to use a front view projection system as the display device because of its good viewing properties.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 9 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 9, which depends on claim 8, recites the limitation "wherein said display is a rear projection display screen".

However, claim 8 recites the limitation "wherein said display device is a front view projection system".

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 9 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) under 37 CFR 1.75(c), set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Art Unit: 2871

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: none of the prior art taught or suggested a stereoscopic liquid crystal display

system comprising: liquid crystal shutter glasses each having two liquid crystal shutter

assemblies each having a first polarizing material nearer the eye and an active rotator;

a display device; and a second polarizer material mounted to the display screen.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-

0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm.

UPERVICE... II ICAN INAMAS TECHNOLOGY CLARLE 2000

David Chung GAU 2871 06/13/03